


QTV-06-1



**Town of Reading  
16 Lowell Street  
Reading, MA 01867**

Camille W. Anthony, Chairman  
Richard W. Schubert, V. Chairman  
Stephen A. Goldy, Secretary  
James E. Bonazoli  
Ben Tafoya

BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
(781) 942-6643  
FAX: (781) 942-9071



June 13, 2006

Andrea Nixon, Clerk  
Cable Television Division  
Department of Telecommunications & Energy  
One South Station  
Boston, MA 02111

Dear Ms. Nixon:

The Town of Reading would like to register its strong opposition to Verizon's March 16, 2006 rulemaking petition filed with the Cable Division of the Department of Telecommunications & Energy. Verizon's petition proposes extremely unreasonable new rules for initial cable licensing.

The proposed rules would require a municipality to hold a public hearing on an initial cable television license application within 60 days of the application filing, and would allow only 30 days from the time of the public hearing for the municipality to approve or disapprove the application, and issue the actual license in case of approval.

As most local officials will tell you, it is impossible to conclude a proper initial license application review, negotiation, license drafting and issuance within 30 days of the public hearing. Such an initial licensing time frame would be untenable in the best of circumstances, and is particularly untenable now in light of the many questions of first impression and complex issues raised by the non-standard terms and conditions commonly reported to be included in Verizon-proposed cable licenses.

As you know from RCN's initial licensing experience, cable operators willing to negotiate customary and standard cable licenses enjoy reasonable and fast municipal licensing. The existing license timetables have worked well for decades. They should not be changed at the behest of a single proponent. Note that Congress contemplated and provided for a three-year renewal process when it more comprehensively and carefully set forth cable licensing rules in the 1984 Cable Act. This framework worked well for decades and there is no rational basis for casting aside the time tested licensing rules and replacing them with radically abbreviated rules.

Reading in fact has concluded its negotiation of a franchise with Verizon and with both parties working diligently, it took over nine months. Much of the time it took was because Verizon did not have a comprehensive draft of a proposed license. While negotiation of the "business points" of the license was concluded fairly quickly, it took almost five months to conclude the negotiations on the language issues, many of which are complex because of the nature of the Verizon business model vs. conventional cable TV providers.


Municipal officials who are responsible for implementing licensing and who are accountable to the public are in opposition to these proposed rules. Municipal officials are concerned that under the proposed rules, our community and cities and towns across the State will be boxed into an untenable 30 day post-hearing licensing process, and will lose the ability to properly review and negotiate Verizon cable proposals. This is not even close to what is reasonably needed for a fair and reasonable licensing process. The Massachusetts Cable Division should reject the Verizon petition and allow local officials to continue serving their constituents as they have been doing for decades.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any further questions or desire further comment, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,



Camille W. Anthony, Chairman




Richard W. Schubert, Vice Chairman

Richard W. Schubert, Vice Chairman



Stephen A. Goldy, Secretary



James E. Bonazoli



Ben Tafoya